

MISSOURI SWMD DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL PERIOD: JULY 1ST - (PREVIOUS YEAR) TO JUNE 30TH - (CURRENT YEAR) | 2016

District O - Solid Waste Management District O

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

1 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the districts take to achieve these goals?

1. Provide technical assistance to District "O" members and businesses in grant management and the design, funding, implementation, and operation of waste reduction programs. Actions Taken: District "O" provided technical assistance in the design, funding, and implementation of waste reduction programs to the following projects: Continued support of an ongoing recycling program for Springfield-Greene County Office of Emergency Management's Public Safety Center. This program has expanded to include additional buildings on the Greene County campus. Continued funding of the Urban District's Alliance Downtown Springfield glass recycling program. Continued support for the City of Springfield's recycling program. Additional funding allows for the expansion of the program to additional City buildings. Provided funding to pave the City of Ash Grove's recycling center. Continued support for construction and demolition waste composting by awarding a second grant to Hansen's Tree Service. Provided ongoing support for e-waste recycling in the District.

1 (b). What waste goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

1. Provide technical assistance to District "O" members and businesses in grant management and the design, funding, implementation, and operation of waste reduction programs. 2. Encourage food waste composting and/or donation of food to local food banks in District "O." The District will look for projects both large and small to support in an effort to reduce food waste going to landfills. 3. Add textile recycling to the District "O" list of targeted materials and look for opportunities to reduce the amount of textiles going into landfills. 4. Continue to encourage and support e-waste recycling within the District. 5. The District will seek new opportunities to expand or build recycling programs in communities that currently do not have them.

2 (a). What recycling goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

	GOAL:	ACTION:
1	Develop and maintain markets for recyclable material collected by District "O" member cities and counties, and by businesses in the district.	District "O" has worked closely with recycling and electronics recycling processors located in our district Those include: Big Dog Recycling, a full service processor and drop-off center in Halfway. Commercial Metals a Springfield metal recycler Computer Recycling Center, Springfield. Greenway Recycling, a full service processor with locations in Fordland and Springfield, Nestle Purina PetCare, a Springfield pet litter plant which collects and uses paper as feedstock. Current grants include bins to expand collection of e-waste for the Computer Recycling Center in partnership with 2 local sheltered workshops. A grant to Hansen's Tree Service is focused on construction & demolition waste.
2	Make every effort to provide all District "O" residents, businesses, and institutions with reasonable access to multi-material recycling drop-off centers and/or recycling curbside (point of generation) collection service.	District "O" has 28 recycling drop-off centers in our five counties. We have at least one recycling drop-off center within 25 miles of every District "O" home or business. We encourage grant proposals that establish new, or improve existing, recycling operations. Of particular interest are proposals for recycling commodities that are difficult to recycle or have been neglected in the past. This includes Glass, Electronics, Household Hazardous Waste, Food waste, Textiles, Construction & Demolition Waste, and Special Event Recycling. We have also focused on improving existing recycling centers, such as upgrading the City of Ash Grove's recycling center with pavement.

2 (b). What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals?

1. Develop and maintain markets for recyclable material collected by District “O” member cities and counties, and by businesses in the district. 2. Make every effort to provide all District “O” residents, businesses, and institutions with reasonable access to multi-material recycling drop-off centers and/or recycling curbside (point of generation) collection service.

3 (a). What resource recovery goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve thee goals?

None

3 (b). What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve thee goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

None

4. Summarize the types of projects and results during the fiscal year, including previous fiscal years as part of the 5 year reporting. (add additional rows if needed)

	PROJECT #: *	NAME OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS:	COST OF PROJECT:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:
1	O2012-007	Springfield Special Event Recycling	7,110.00	2.0900	3,401.91
2	O2012-008	District O HHW Collection	5,289.86	0.5000	10,579.72
3	O2013-002	Computer Recycling Center Facility Security	8,100.00	0.0000	0.00
4	O2013-003	Computer Recycling Center Secure Bins	5,836.00	22.5000	259.38
5	O2013-004	Greene County Office of Emergency Management	9,832.00	3.1500	3,121.27
6	O2013-005	Greenway Recycling Cardboard Containers	48,000.00	198.0000	242.42
7	O2013-007	Big Dog Recycling Baler Purchase	49,049.00	232.0000	211.42
8	O2013-009	Craig Jung Holiday Lights Recycling	0.00	0.0000	0.00
9	O2013-010	Urban Districts Alliance	27,309.20	66.0300	413.59
10	O2013-011	WebCo Custom Industries	21,896.00	839.0000	26.10
11	O2014-002	Computer Recycling Center Box Truck	29,516.60	606.9100	48.63
12	O2014-003	Greenway Recycling Office Paper	24,000.00	276.2700	86.87
13	O2014-004	UDA Downtown Glass Recycling	20,424.01	35.3800	577.28

14	O2014-005	Big Dog Recycling Phases 2 & 3	25,542.00	210.4800	121.35
15	O2014-006	Habitat for Humanity Box Truck	45,954.00	635.3130	72.33
16	O2014-007	WebCo Custom Industries 3/4 Ton Truck	26,486.00	921.6300	28.74
17	O2014-008	Mercy Hospital Recycling Program	10,625.00	137.0300	77.54
18	O2014-009	Resolution Reuse Textile Recycling	0.00	0.0000	0.00
19	O2015-002	Christian County Enterprises Computer Recycling	4,995.00	4.5900	1,088.24
20	O2015-003	City of Springfield Recycling Enhancement	28,859.00	0.0000	0.00
21	O2015-004	District O HHW Collection	0.00	0.5000	0.00
22	O2015-005	WebCo Custom Industries Computer Recycling	0.00	0.0000	0.00
23	O2015-007	Computer Recycling Center Electronics Collection Bins	38,871.00	216.6050	179.46
24	O2015-008	Ash Grove City Recycling Center Phase II	35,000.00	7.3800	4,742.55
25	O2015-009	Urban Roots Farm Composting Collective	0.00	0.0000	0.00
26	O2015-010	Webster County Energy Recovery Project	9,890.00	7.6300	1,296.20
27	O2015-011	Springfield Greene Count OEM Public Safety Center Recycling Program	24,278.84	1.3680	17,747.69
28	O2015-012	Hansen's Tree Service Demolition Waste Recycling Center	43,826.00	7,390.0800	5.93

5. Summarize projects not resulting in Tonnage Diversion

	LIST PROJECTS BY NUMBER AND NAME NOT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS.	COST OF PROJECT:	MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS:
--	---	------------------	---

6. Identify separate statistics for items banned from landfills:

	LIST PROJECTS BY NUMBER AND NAME RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS.	LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:	MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS:
--	---	---	---	-----------------------------------	---

7. Identify separate statistics for items NOT banned from landfills:

	LIST PROJECTS BY NUMBER AND NAME RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS.	LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:
1	O2012-008 District O HHW Collection	5,289.86	0.5000	10,579.72
2	O2015-004 District O HHW Collection	0.00	0.5000	0.00
3	O2013-003 Computer Recycling Center Bins	5,836.00	22.5000	259.38
4	O2014-002 Computer Recycling Center Box Truck	29,516.60	606.9100	48.63
5	OO2015-007 Computer Recycling Center Electronics Collection	38,871.00	216.6050	179.46

8. Describe your district's grant proposal evaluation process.

1. Each year, approximately one month before the due date of grant applications the District "O" Chair appoints a District Grant Evaluation Subcommittee and a meeting date is set. 2. The subcommittee consists of the Chair and three Executive Board members or alternates. 3. The District "O" Planner and Associate Planner serve as committee staff, but do not evaluate, rank, or vote on applicants. 4. Each Subcommittee member is furnished a complete copy of each grant application, an application summary prepared by District "O" staff, and an evaluation score sheet for review approximately one month before the committee meets. 5. The committee meets, discusses the applications and each independently evaluates and scores each grant application. 6. If a subcommittee member has any interest in a grant applicant they will not participate in the discussion and will not evaluate the application. 7. The applications are ranked numerically by the combined scores. 8. At the next Executive Board meeting the scores and ranking are presented to the full committee. 9. Using the subcommittee evaluation and ranking as a guide, the board votes on the applications. 10. Any Executive Board member who has an interest in any application must abstain from voting. SAMPLE OF SCORE SHEET: District "O" District Grant Application Evaluation Applicant: Evaluator Instructions: Write your score, 1 being the lowest number and 10 the highest, in the space provided to the left of each criterion. Ignore those which are marked "DNA" as they do not apply to this grant application. Please sign your name, title, and date at the bottom of the form. ____ 1. Conformance with the integrated waste management hierarchy as described in the Missouri Policy on Resource Recovery. ____ 2. Conformance with the Solid Waste Management District O 2011 Targeted Materials List ____ 3. Degree to which the project contributes to community-based economic development ____ 4. Degree to which funding the project will adversely affect existing entities in the market segment ____ 5. Degree to which the project promotes waste reduction or recycling or results in an environmental benefit related to solid waste management through the proposed process ____ 6. Demonstrates cooperative efforts through a public/private partnership or among political subdivisions ____ 7. Compliance with federal, state, or local requirements ____ 8. Transferability of results ____ 9. The need for the information ____ 10. Technical ability of the applicant ____ 11. Managerial ability of the applicant ____ 12. Ability to implement in a timely manner ____ 13. Technical feasibility ____ 14. Availability of feedstock and/or material to be recycled ____ 15. Level of commitment for financing ____ 16. Type of contribution by applicant ____ 17. Effectiveness of marketing strategy ____ 18. Quality of Budget DNA 19. Selected Financial Ratios ____ 20. Conformance to District "O" 2011 Goals and Objectives ____ 21. Availability of commitments necessary to conduct the project Raw Score: _____ divided by 200 equals:

FINAL SCORE: _____ Ranking: _____

Signature Title Date

Upload File:

Maximum of 5 mb file size

 AUDIT ONLY

District comments

 AUDIT ONLY

DNR Comments:

Response created on: Oct 12, 2016 at 04:23 PM CDT by ASnyder@greenecountymo.gov

Response last updated on: Dec 2, 2016 at 10:53 AM CST by ASnyder@greenecountymo.gov